

Developing the County's Local Nature Recovery Strategy

#### Draft approach for shortlisting Kent & Medway Local Nature Recovery Strategy priorities

## February 2024

#### Introduction

The Local Nature Recovery Strategy statutory guidance (2023), requires responsible authorities to gather possible priorities from existing published plans and strategies, and from engaging directly with locally active organisations and individuals. Responsible authorities should first create a longlist of suggestions. They should then exclude any suggestions that are out of scope before narrowing down to select a shortlist of priorities that the responsible authorities consider critical for the strategy to address.

These will be the priorities, in terms of habitats and species, for recovering or enhancing biodiversity, taking into account the contribution that recovering or enhancing biodiversity can also make to other environmental benefits. Other environmental benefits refers to how the strategies can include 'nature-based solutions' to address wider environmental issues as well as priorities for recovering or enhancing biodiversity. Priorities must only be habitat or species focussed.

The Making Space for Nature in Kent and Medway project will create a long list of priorities for nature by:

- A series of stakeholder workshops throughout February.
- Supporting tools for groups to host self-led workshops to develop their own priorities for submission.
- An online survey on priorities for nature recovery.
- A review of local plans and strategies.

Once completed (by the end of February), the below approach will be used to assess this long list of suggested priorities in order to develop a focussed list of priorities for nature recovery in Kent and Medway.

The approach has been based on the Local Nature Recovery Strategy statutory guidance (2023) and aims to enable the achievement of a set of priorities that:

- ✓ Contribute to a balanced range of the National Environmental Objectives?
- ✓ Address the opportunities and pressures identified in the description of the strategy area?
- ✓ Sufficiently cover the variation of landscapes and ecosystems in the strategy area?
- ✓ Balance the contributions from different types of stakeholders? i.e. national experts through to local residents.
- ✓ Is a manageable number.

# Please use the following link to provide your feedback on the proposed shortlisting approach

https://forms.office.com/e/qnH0r2n33N.

## Step one - initial assessment of long list

The first step will be to exclude any out of scope priorities, based on the requirements of the <u>Local Nature Recovery Strategy statutory guidance</u> (2023) and <u>LNRS Regulations</u> (2023) and <u>Environment Act Section 106</u> (2021)

Assessment	lf yes
a. Does potential priority contribute to National Environmental Objectives	Include
b. Does the potential priority address a pressure or opportunity identified for the strategy area?	Include
c. Does the potential priority relate to a co-benefit rather than habitats or species	Exclude
d. Does the potential priority relate to a specific site?	Exclude unless it can be amended to a more general priority

Any priorities excluded owing to assessment criteria c.) or d.) will be held for consideration at the mapping of priorities work stage, in case there is opportunity to support these.

The remaining suggested priorities in the long list will then be combined, where possible, to create a shorter, more condensed long-list.

#### Step two - shortlisting assessment

The priorities long list will then be reviewed against a number of considerations, with their assessment taking account of how much they meet the qualifying criteria. A suggested priority will not need to meet all criteria of the nine assessment categories in order to be selected for the proposed shortlist, but different weighting will applied.

Highest weighting	Medium weighting	Lowest weighting	
Local and national significance.	Urgency	Pre-existing initiatives	
Contribution to national targets.	Climate change impacts	Deliverability	
Urgency	Maximising benefits	Cross-boundary considerations	

The categories under lowest weighting will largely be used to distinguish between priorities when all other considerations are equal.

A suggested priority that does not make the shortlist will still be recorded and made available alongside the LNRS. We will aim to state why it hasn't been shortlisted and the circumstances/conditions that could/would make it an LNRS priority in the future.

Assessment category	Qualifying criteria	
Local and national significance	- Lowland Beech and Yew Woodland	
- does the priority relate to a	- Lowland Mixed Broadleaved Woodland	
habitat or species that the	- Chalk grassland	
strategy area is particularly	- Lowland meadow	
important for?	- Lowland dry acid grassland / Lowland heathland	
	- Hedgerows	
(Note – the LNRS is undertaking a	- Open mosaic habitat on previously developed land	
dedicated assessment of which	- Traditional orchard	
specific species the strategy	- Rivers	
should be targeting – this will	- Chalk streams	
establish detailed priorities for	- Ponds	
species recovery and associated	- Wet woodland	
measures. The list of species	- Coastal and floodplain grazing marsh	
included here is not an indication	- Intertidal mudflats and coastal saltmarsh	
of the LNRS priority species for	- Vegetated shingle	
recovery but is to be used as a	- Intertidal chalk and subtidal chalk	
tool to support the assessment).	- Subtidal mud	
	- Shrill Carder Bee	
(Based on <u>Kent Biodiversity</u>	- Turtle Dove	
Strategy (2020) priority habitats	- Nightingale	
and priority species)	- Swift	
	- Adder	
	- Adonis Blue	
	- Heath Fritillary	
	- Dwarf or Kentish Milkwort	
	- European eel	
	- Lapwing	
	- Sandwich tern	
	- Water vole	
	- True Fox-sedge.	

Contribution to national targets - does priority help to deliver one or more of the National Environmental Objectives? (Based on <u>National Environmental</u> <u>Objectives</u> that relate to biodiversity. Where local targets are more ambitious than those held nationally (e.g. Kent Plan Tree target for canopy cover), will defer to those more ambitious targets).	<ul> <li>Thriving plants and wildlife (land and freshwaters):</li> <li>restoring 75% of protected sites to favourable condition.</li> <li>creating or restoring 500,000 hectares of wildlife-rich habitat outside the protected site network, focusing on priority habitats (as above this will relate to the Kent Biodiversity Strategy's selected priority habitats).</li> <li>taking action to recover threatened, iconic or economically important species (to be advised by MS4N Species Recovery Technical Advisory Group).</li> <li>increasing woodland in England in line with 12% cover by 2060.</li> </ul> Thriving plants and wildlife (marine): <ul> <li>reversing the loss of marine biodiversity and restoring it</li> <li>increasing the proportion of protected and well-managed seas, and better managing existing protected sites</li> <li>making sure populations of key species are sustainable</li> <li>ensuring seafloor habitats are productive and sufficiently extensive to support healthy, sustainable ecosystems Clean and plentiful water <ul> <li>Reaching or exceeding objectives for rivers, lakes, coastal and ground waters that are specially protected for biodiversity as per our River Basin Management Plans.</li> <li>Improve the cleanliness of our waters.</li> </ul></li></ul>
Contribution to the purposes of Kent's protected landscapes - does priority help to deliver one or more of the Kent Downs or High Weald Protected Landscape (formerly AONB) principles? (Note – this assessment category and qualifying criteria is currently under review by the county's two Protected Landscape units) (Based on the Kent Downs and High Weald Protected Landscape Management Plan's principles that relate to biodiversity and	<ul> <li>Creation of new habitats, wilding, buffering and connecting habitat corridors informed by the needs for resilience and the threats to existing habitats and species.</li> <li>The protection, conservation, enhancement and extension of Kent Downs and High Weald AONB priority and distinctive habitats and species (not already covered by KBS priority habitats and species):         <ul> <li>(Habitats tbc)</li> <li>Duke of burgundy fritillary</li> <li>Late spider orchid</li> <li>Lady orchid</li> <li>Monkey orchid</li> <li>Hedgehogs</li> <li>Glowworms</li> <li>Small red damselflies</li> <li>Serotine bats</li> </ul> </li> <li>Generating a greater connection between people and nature will be pursued at the same time as dispersal of visitor pressure from sensitive wildlife sites where the biodiversity interest is at threat.</li> </ul>

nature recovery).	<ul> <li>Management of the impact of deer on the flora of High Weald woodlands and other habitats.</li> <li>Creation and management of scrub and wilder boundaries.</li> <li>Restoration of a pesticide and pollution free environment.</li> </ul>
<b>Urgency</b> – does priority need immediate action?	- Action is needed to commence in next six years
(Timeframe based on the review and updating timeframe for LNRS of 3-10 years. Anything beyond six years will not be automatically excluded but must be strongly meeting other qualifying criteria.)	
<b>Climate change impacts</b> – does this priority relate to a habitat or species particularly vulnerable to climate change?	<ul> <li>River habitats and standing water bodies</li> <li>Lowland beech and yew woodlands, wet woodlands and native pine woodlands</li> <li>Wet lowland meadows</li> <li>Coastal grazing marsh</li> <li>Wet and dry lowland heath</li> </ul>
(Based on most sensitive habitats identified by <u>Re-evaluating the</u> <u>sensitivity of habitats to climate</u> <u>change, Natural England June</u> 2023)	<ul> <li>Fen, marsh and swamp</li> <li>Mountain heath and willow scrub</li> <li>Coastal (machair, saltmarshes, mudflats, saline lagoons)</li> <li>Any species associated with the above habitats (predominately)</li> </ul>
	To consider suitability of priority, in light of anticipated climate change impacts, by applying the <u>Resist-Accept-Direct</u> <u>framework</u> .
<b>Maximising benefits</b> – would the recovery be likely to bring about other biodiversity and environmental benefit?	<ul> <li>Clean air</li> <li>Clean and plentiful water</li> <li>Reducing the risks of harm from environmental hazards</li> <li>Using resources from nature more sustainably and efficiently</li> <li>Enhancing beauty, heritage and engagement with the natural environment</li> </ul>
(Based on the non-biodiversity targets of <u>National Environmental</u> <u>Objectives</u> )	<ul> <li>Mitigating and adapting to climate change</li> <li>Minimising waste</li> <li>Managing exposure to chemicals</li> <li>Enhancing biosecurity</li> </ul>

Pre-existing initiatives – any	- Mapped nature recovery action delivering same or similar outcomes.	
opportunities to enhance gains		
recently made?		
<b>Deliverability</b> – how feasible are	- Likely delivery partners in support of the priority.	
the measures required to deliver	- Clear funding or investment (or other resourcing) opportunities.	
this priority?	- Strong support for priority.	
Cross-boundary	<ul> <li>Neighbouring responsible authority has the same or similar priority.</li> </ul>	
considerations – are there		
opportunities to join up and work		
at larger, cross boundary scale?		

## Step 3 - further refinement

If, after the first two rounds of shortlisting, the priorities list is still considered to be too long/not manageable, the following may be used to further refine the priorities.

- Relevance of priority/outcome is this a priority/outcome that applies broadly across the county?
- Relevance of priority/outcome is this a priority/outcome that whilst affecting only small areas of the county, is addressing a significant need?
- Evidenced need is there evidence of need (rather than it being a preference-based priority)?

## Step 4 – final review against priority shortlist checklist

The final priority shortlist should meet the following requirements as detailed by the Local Nature Recovery Strategy statutory guidance (2023):

- ✓ Do the agreed priorities and potential measures contribute to a balanced range of the National Environmental Objectives?
- ✓ Do the agreed priorities address the opportunities and pressures identified in the description of the strategy area?
- ✓ Do the agreed priorities sufficiently cover the variation of landscapes and ecosystems in the strategy area?
- ✓ Do the agreed priorities balance the contributions from different types of stakeholders? i.e. national experts through to local residents.
- Are there a manageable number of agreed priorities? The number of agreed priorities will depend on the factors listed above but should be manageable enough for end-users to understand and to focus delivery planning.

## What next?

The draft LNRS priorities list will be published online in April and discussed with stakeholders at a number of workshops. The list will be accompanied by a shortlisting report, so that the process of selection is clear and transparent.

Stakeholders will then be invited to help identify the potential measures that will support delivery of these priorities.

## Indicative timeline

Creation of LNRS priorities long-list	30 <sup>th</sup> January – 23 <sup>rd</sup> February
Consultation on priorities shortlisting approach	7 <sup>th</sup> February – 23 <sup>rd</sup> February
Shortlisting of priorities	26 <sup>th</sup> February – 5 <sup>th</sup> April
Publication of draft priorities shortlist	8 <sup>th</sup> April
Priorities shortlist workshops	2 <sup>nd</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> and 9 <sup>th</sup> May
Potential measures workshops	14 <sup>th</sup> , 16 <sup>th</sup> , 21 <sup>st</sup> and 23 <sup>rd</sup> May